

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,141	08/23/2001	Douglas A. Cheline	PD-201118	6304
. 7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Hughes Electronics corporation corporate patents & licening bldg R11 mall station a109 p o box 956 EL Segundo, CA 90245			HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K.						
(Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/940,141	CHELINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olga Hernandez	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/2/05Interview.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-20 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-20 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
TI) The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Onic	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the certified copies not receive	veu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 8405				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,141

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genty et al (2002/0178361) in view of Reid et al (6,298,308).

As per claims 1, 11, 13 and 22, Genty discloses:

- receiving a request to establish a VPN session with a server-side system from at least one client computer out of a plurality of client computers coupled to a modem within a client-side system, where said request contains login details for a user of said at least one client computer (figures 1 and 2, paragraphs [0003] and [0216]);
- determining a network address of said at least one client computer
 (abstract);
- authenticating said user based on said user login details (paragraphs (00031, (0011), and [0012]); and

Application/Control Number: 09/940,141

- establishing a VPN tunnel between said at least one client computer having said network address and said server-side system, where said VPN tunnel is established over said modem (abstract, figures 1 and 2, paragraphs [0015]-[0018]);
- receiving a new request to establish a new VPN session with a different server-side system from a different client computer out of said plurality of client computers coupled to said modern within said client-side system, where said request contains new login details for a new user of said different client computer (paragraphs [0009], [0040]);
- determining a new network address of said different client computer
 (paragraph [0012]); authenticating said new user based on said new user
 login details (paragraph [0009]); and
- establishing a new VPN tunnel between said different client computer having said new network address and said new server-side system, where said VPN tunnel is established over said modem (paragraph [0047]).

Genty does not teach only one modem being shared within the client side.

However, Reid teaches it in column 8, lines 16-17. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine Genty's invention with Reid's shared modem in order to indicate a machine failure or impeding failure, a need for maintenance.

As per claim 2, Genty teaches obtaining security details from a client (paragraph [0010]).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,141

Art Unit: 2144

As per claims 3 and 14, Genty teaches a collection log to extract the network address of at least on computer (paragraph (00102).

As per claims 4 and 15, Genty teaches storing the network address (abstract).

As per claims 5 and 16, Genty teaches the authentication process (paragraphs [00032, (0011] and (0012]).

As per claims 8 and 19, Genty teaches ascertaining an Internet protocol address of the client (abstract).

As per claims 9 and 20, Genty teaches the use of different Protocol (paragraph [0019]).

As per claims 12 and 23, Genty teaches restricting the VPN tunnel aRer certain time (paragraphs [0141], [0142]).

As per claims 6 and 17, Genty teaches the use of a server (figures 1 and 2). Genty does not teach a Radius server. However, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to substitute a server for another server in order to enhance the quality of the transmission and reduce the costs. Genty's server and the Radius server are considered functionally equivalent. In re Brown, 459 F. 2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972) and In re Bond, 910 F. 2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genty et al (2002/0178361) in view of Reid et al (6,298,308), further in view of Vandergeest et al (2002/0169988).

Genty teaches transmitting the authentication information to the server (paragraph [0003], [0011] and [0012]). Genty does not teach the retransmission of the

Art Unit: 2144

information. However, Vandergeest teaches it in paragraph [0039]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine the aforementioned inventions in order to allow the user to digitally sign information, or decrypt information using private keys.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30am-7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2144